



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,365	01/18/2002	Mayumi Kotani	SAEGU92.001APC	7977
20995	7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			VANIK, DAVID L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	92614	1615		
	,	•	DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/937,365	KOTANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David L. Vanik	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply in the statutory minimum of thirty (3) areply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) areply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 May 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·,— ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,10-22 and 24-42 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-42 is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,10-22 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cornal to the cornal three cornal to the cornal transfer and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Itents have been received in Appl priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No beived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· .	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Request for Continued Examination filed on 3/21/2005. Receipt is also acknowledged of applicant's Response to Election/Restriction filed on 5/23/2005. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,10-22, 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1, and 10-24 in the reply filed on 5/23/2005 is acknowledged. Examiner also acknowledges applicant's election of oral administration as a species. Because claim 23 has been cancelled by applicant, it will be withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO98/42188 ('188).

'188 disclose a medicinal composition comprising kaempferol-3-glucoside (page 12, lines 3-4). The treatment of pollinosis is considered a future intended use and, as such, is given no patentable weight in a composition claim.

Page 3

Claims 21 and 22 are product-by-process claims. As such, claims 21 and 22 will be treated as product claims and not as method claims. By disclosing a composition comprising kaempferol-3-glucoside, the composition advanced by '188 anticipates the instant claims 21 and 22 (page 12, lines 3-4).

The claims are therefore anticipated by WO98/42188 ('188).

Claim 1, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,808,574 ('574).

'574 disclose a medicinal composition comprising kaempferol-3-glucoside (column 2, line 61). The kaempferol-3-glucoside-based compositions further comprise a carrier, ethanol (Claims 1 and 2). According to '574, kaempferol-3-glucoside can be combined with a food product, an alcoholic beverage (Claims 1 and 2). The treatment of pollinosis is considered a future intended use and, as such, is given no patentable weight in a composition claim.

Claims 21 and 22 are product-by-process claims. As such, claims 21 and 22 will be treated as product claims and not as method claims. By disclosing a composition comprising kaempferol-3-glucoside, the composition advanced by '574 anticipates the instant claims 21 and 22 (column 2, line 61).

The claims are therefore anticipated by US Patent 4,808,574 ('574).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 10-22, and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,808,574 ('574) in view of Fukumoto et al (Antianaphylactic Effects of the Principal Compounds from the White Petals of *Impatiens balsamina* L., Phytoherapy Research, Vol. 10., 202-206 (1996)) and JP110296561A ('561).

The teachings of '574 are enumerated above. '574 teaches a medicinal composition comprising kaempferol-3-glucoside dispersed in a food product, a beverage (Claims 1 and 2). The beverage is orally consumed.

Art Unit: 1615

'574 does not teach a method of using kaempferol-3-glucoside to treat pollinosis.

Fukumoto et al. teach medicinal compositions isolated from plants (see page 204, column 1). Said isolated plant compositions have been found to alleviate dermatological lesions, including dermatitis related to the disorder urticaria (see page 202, column 1). Also, according to Fukumoto et al., compounds isolated from the white petals of *Impatiens balsamina* L., such as kaempferol-3-glucoside, have the ability to inhibit IgE-mediated anaphylaxis in mice (see page 202, column 1). It has also been demonstrated that kaempferol-3-glucoside inhibits the release of IgE-promoted histamine from mast cells (see Page 205, column 2).

According to applicant's specification, pollinosis is accompanied by a rise in IgE levels (page 14, lines 10-12 and page 5, lines 17-22). This finding is also indicated by the literature. For example, as set forth in '561, inhibition of IgE production is an effective method for treating either pollinosis or anaphylaxis (see abstract). Thus, compositions that have the ability to inhibit IgE production can be used to treat pollinosis. Because compounds that inhibit IgE production, such as kaempferol-3-glucoside, can be used to effectively treat allergy-related disorders, such as pollinosis, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to treat pollinosis with a composition comprising kaempferol-3-glucoside. Based on the teachings of both Fukumoto et al. and '561, there is a reasonable expectation that kaempferol-3-glucoside, an IgE inhibiting compound, would effectively treat allergy-related disorders, such as pollinosis. As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 1615

art at the time the invention was made to use kaempferol-3-glucoside to treat pollinosis as indicated by the teachings of Fukumoto et al and '561.

Page 6

With respect to the claimed concentrations and dosage, absent a clear showing of criticality, the determination of particular concentrations and dosage is within the skill of the ordinary worker as part of the process of normal optimization.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Application 2002/0068094 A1 is cited as a patent of interest in its disclosure of a composition comprising kaempferol (paragraph 0021). The composition can be used in a method to treat pollinosis (paragraph 0036).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Vanik whose telephone number is (571) 272-3104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carlos Azpuru, can be reached at (571) 272-0588. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Vanik, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1615

CARLOS A. AZPURU

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500